Scaling Routing for the Internet

- scale
  - 200 million destinations - can’t store all destinations or all prefixes in routing tables
  - link-state: flood link state packets to all hosts in the entire Internet
  - distance-vector: send routing table for all networks to each of your neighbors
- administrative authority
  - the Internet is a network of networks
  - each network administrator wants to control routing in her organization – may even use a different routing algorithm
Hierarchical Routing
Hierarchical Routing

- aggregate routers into regions: domains or autonomous systems (AS)
  - intra-domain routing
    - routing within a domain
    - run a single routing protocol in the domain
  - inter-domain routing
    - routing between domains
    - every domain must agree to run the same inter-domain routing protocol
- border router or gateway
  - router at the border of your domain and a peer, runs
  - must run both intra- and inter-domain routing protocols
Domains and Border Routers

- forwarding table entries on a border router are created by both the intra-domain and inter-domain routing protocols
  - intra-domain sets routes for internal destinations
  - inter-domain sets routes for external destinations
Hierarchical Routing

- router in AS1 gets a datagram for an external destination
- which border router does it choose?
- inter-domain routing protocol needs to
  - learn destinations reachable through each border router
  - propagate routes to all routers inside the domain
  - some destinations may be reachable by more than one border router – choose the closest one
Hierarchical Routing Procedure

1. Learn from inter-AS protocol that subnet x is reachable via multiple gateways.
2. Use routing info from intra-AS protocol to determine costs of least-cost paths to each of the gateways.
3. Hot potato routing: Choose the gateway that has the smallest least cost.
4. Determine from forwarding table the interface I that leads to least-cost gateway. Enter (x,I) in forwarding table.
Intra-Domain Routing
Intra-Domain Routing

- also known as an interior gateway protocol (IGP)
- most common protocols
  - **RIP**: Routing Information Protocol
  - **OSPF**: Open Shortest Path First
  - **IGRP**: Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (Cisco)
RIP
RIP: Routing Information Protocol

- distance-vector algorithm
- included in BSD-UNIX in 1982, most Unix and Linux distributions since then
- each link cost = 1, infinity = 16 (limits counting to infinity problem)
- exchanges distance vectors with neighbors every 30 seconds (called an advertisement)
- each advertisement contains a list of up to 25 destination networks
- RIP2 - supports subnet masks, adds authentication for advertisements
- RIPng - supports IPv6
**RIP Example**

- **Routing table for D:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination Subnet</th>
<th>Next Router</th>
<th>Number of Hops to Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>....</td>
<td>.....</td>
<td>.....</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RIP Example

• advertisement from A:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination Subnet</th>
<th>Next Router</th>
<th>Number of Hops to Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dots</td>
<td>dots</td>
<td>dots</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• routing table for D:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination Subnet</th>
<th>Next Router</th>
<th>Number of Hops to Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dots</td>
<td>dots</td>
<td>dots</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• D changes its route for z to use A instead of B (cost of 5 instead of 7)
RIP Link Failure and Recovery

- if no advertisement heard after 180 sec, neighbor/link declared dead
  - routes using neighbor invalidated
  - new advertisements sent to neighbors
  - neighbors in turn send out new advertisements (if tables changed)
  - link failure info quickly propagates to entire network
  - poison reverse used help with count-to-infinity
RIP Table Processing

- RIP run as application-level process called routed (route daemon)
- advertisements sent in UDP packets, periodically repeated
OSPF
OSPF: Open Shortest Path First

- **open:** publicly available
- **uses link-state algorithm**
  - link-state advertisements (LSAs) contain one entry per neighbor router
  - LSAs sent to each router in the domain
  - LSAs sent as OSPF messages directly over IP (no TCP and no UDP)
- **security:** all messages authenticated
- **multi-path:** multiple same-cost paths allowed
- **TOS:** multiple cost metrics per link (e.g. satellite can be low cost for bandwidth, high cost for latency)
- **multicast support:** MOSPF uses OSPF link-state database
- **hierarchical:** divide a domain into multiple areas
OSPF Hierarchy

- area routers learn topology and routes for area
- area border routers summarize distances for networks in their area, advertise to other area routers on backbone
- backbone routers run OSPF on the backbone
- boundary routers connect to Internet
Inter-Domain Routing
BGP
Inter-Domain Routing: BGP

- Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) - the standard for Internet inter-domain routing
- BGP allows domains to
  - advertise routes for internal networks to the rest of the Internet
  - obtain routes for external networks from other domains
  - use policy to select routes (not just shortest path)
BGP Basics

- BGP peers (routers) establish TCP connections and exchange routing information (may span several non-BGP routers)
- when AS1 advertises a prefix (network) to AS2, AS1 is promising it will forward any datagrams sent to that prefix
- prefixes can be aggregated along any bit boundary
BGP Reachability

- advertise prefixes that are *reachable* by your domain
- example
  - 3a uses BGP to send reachability info to 1c for internal networks
  - 1c uses OSPF/IGRP to distribute reachability to other routers in AS1
  - 1b uses BGP to advertise these networks to 2a
  - any router that learns about a new/updated prefix creates/updates forwarding table entry

Key:
- solid line: eBGP session
- dashed line: iBGP session
BGP Attributes

- attributes may be attached to prefixes = route
- important attributes
  - **AS-PATH**: an ordered list of ASs in the route
  - **NEXT-HOP**: IP address of the router which should be used as the BGP next hop to the destination
- example
  - when 3a advertises a route to 1c, it uses its own IP address as the NEXT-HOP and the AS-PATH is AS3.
  - when 1b advertises the same route to 2a, it changes the NEXT-HOP to 1b’s address, and the AS-PATH is AS3-AS1
BGP Route Selection

- BGP routers use policies to determine which routes they will accept and advertise to their peers
- policy eliminates routes for which you don’t want to carry traffic
- route selection among multiple routes for same prefix: complicated rules
  - largest weight
  - highest local preference (e.g. prefer directly-connected routes, or routes over Internet2)
  - shortest AS-PATH
  - cheapest internal route to BGP NEXT-HOP
BGP Messages

- exchanged using TCP
- message types
  - OPEN: open TCP connection to peer and authenticate sender
  - UPDATE: advertise new paths, withdraw old paths
  - KEEPALIVE: keep connection alive in absence of updates, ACKs OPEN request
  - NOTIFICATION: reports errors, can also close connection
BGP Policy

- **provider networks**: A, B, C
- **customer networks**: X, W, Y
- X is **dual-homed**: attached to two networks
- **policy**
  - X does not want to route from B to C via itself
  - X will not advertise to B a route for C
BGP Policy

- A advertises path AW to B
- B advertises path BAW to X
- should B advertise path BAW to C?
BGP Policy

- A advertises path AW to B
- B advertises path BAW to X
- should B advertise path BAW to C?
  - No! B gets no benefit from routing CBAW since neither C nor W are customers of B
  - B wants to force C to route via A
  - B wants to route only to/from its own customers
Separation of Concerns

• policy
  • inter-domain: want control over how traffic is routed, who routes to domain, needs policy
  • intra-domain: single administrator, so no policy decisions needed

• scale
  • hierarchical routing saves table size, reduces update traffic

• performance
  • intra-domain focuses on performance
  • inter-domain focuses on global reachability, policy